ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Secom Long Range Plan Working Group "Minutes"

FROM:				EXTENSION	NO.	STAT
					DATE	

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE RECEIVED	DATE FORWARDED	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)	
	1 FEB 1984	2 FEB 1984	✗	Here's a rough cut at the "notes" I took during this morning's meeting. My assumption is that you do not at this time want these forwarded through channels to you. Hence, this direct note.	STAT
3.					
4.					
5.					
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					STAT
11.					
12.				Please feel free to brush up my recollection of events.	
13.					
14.					
15.				YOUR HOLDINGS PL SET UP A FILE IN TO HOUSE THESE SESSIONS	STAT

FORM 1-79 610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

(OF WHICH THERE WON'T BE MANY)

K

1 February 1984

<u>MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD</u>

SUBJECT: SECOM Long-range Plan Working Group Meeting

1. The SECOM Long-range Plan Working Group held its first meeting   STAT
on 1 February 1984 at 1000 hours in the office of Mr. Maynard C. Anderson,
Director, Security Plans and Programs, OUSD, Room 3C277, The Pentagon.   STAT
The meeting was chaired by Mr. Anderson. In attendance were [_____]
[_____] NSA; [_____], Director of Security, CIA; and   STAT
the writer. Mr. Gene Bishop, Department of State, and Mr. Jerry Rubino,
Department of Justice, were unable to attend.

2. Messrs. [_____] arrived a few minutes after 1000 hours.   STAT
Following introductions, [_____] continued a discussion concerning the   STAT
views of [_____] NSA Director of Security. The following points   STAT
were made:

    . [_____] is very sensitive to the matter of "duplication,"   STAT
    which [_____] described further as use of differing sets   STAT
    of standards by organizations such as Navy and CIA.

    . [_____] believes another look should be taken at the   STAT
    scope of investigations, even to the point of perhaps
    including coverage on such matters as religious beliefs
    and political affiliations. (Mr. Anderson mentioned a
    case involving a member of the Socialist Workers Party
    who was an employee of Martin-Marietta, Denver, as an
    interesting case in point.)

    . [_____] believes that a closer look should be taken at   STAT
    security aspects of the behavioral sciences at a high
    policy level. [_____] said this sounded intriguing,   STAT
    and that SECOM could be useful in coordinating both activity
    and funding of such efforts in the Intelligence Community.
    Mr. Anderson noted that [_____], Naval Graduate School,   STAT
    Monterey, California, is doing some behavioral sciences work
    for DoD at the present time.

    . [_____] commented that while physical security policies   STAT
    have had to change to meet the demands of rapidly changing
    technology, personnel security policies have not yet adjusted
    to such change.

    . [_____] also believes a close look should be taken at   STAT
    counterterrorism, if only for morale reasons. [_____]   STAT
    noted that the DCI and DDCI are interested in centralizing
    C/T activities within CIA. He noted that the Intelligence
    Community needs a predictable conduit for C/T data, but that
    at present there's no clearing house. [_____] urged   STAT
    that a Working Group be formed to address C/T as it applies

to agencies represented on the SECOM. He suggested that
it be a standing Working Group, and remarked that he himself
wants to learn just who the C/T talent at CIA is.

- [   ] commented on the positive side, that SECOM   STAT
  has done well on training and on working on DCID's.
  He remarked that the on-going Leak Study and the
  Anecdotal Polygraph Study are good efforts and well
  worthwhile. He opined that SECOM needs to blow its own
  horn a little to let people know that it is alive and well.
  NSA, he said, wants more information to flow to it from
  SECOM -- more emphasis on a downward flow of information.

- [   ] said he and [   ] share the view that SECOM   STAT
  needs to better anticipate requirements that come to it from
  the U.S. Congress. He cited the polygraph testimony issue
  as an example. [   ] said he believes closer liaison   STAT
  between SECOM and CIA's Office of Legislative Liaison would
  be worthwhile, and he suggested that a report on upcoming
  Congressional requirements be made a regular agenda item for
  all SECOM meetings. Mr. Anderson noted that DoD publishes a
  monthly Legislative Schedule and that he is on distribution.
  He mentioned that DoD is scheduled to testify about use of
  the polygraph, on 5 and 6 March 1984.

- [   ] said he endorses the perception of Messrs.   STAT
  [   ], that SECOM has not achieved its real   STAT
  potential toward policy and procedural reform. He believes
  that they have only scratched the surface. He cited the
  adjudicators conferences and the recent physical security
  conference as examples of excellent efforts. Mr. Anderson
  seconded that, particularly with respect to the physical
  security conference.

- [   ] opined that SECOM had somewhat hidden its light   STAT
  under a bushel, and expressed the opinion that if their work
  had been better publicized, an Interagency Group/Countermeasures
  might not have been needed. Mr. Anderson noted that at the
  Tenth IG/CM meeting, held the previous day, he had observed
  that the organizational representatives there were in almost
  every case outranked by the same organization's SECOM member.

- [   ] said that SECOM's goals and objectives need to   STAT
  be re-stated and publicized, and that that should be done
  promptly. He said that more formidable (substantive)
  Subcommittee reports would be desireable and suggested
  that reviews should be conducted on a quarterly basis to
  see if the Subcommittees are really serving their purposes.

- [   ] said that SECOM needs to be a catalyst on   STAT
  personnel security "rules breaking" kinds of behaviour
  studies. He opined that we need to profile such people
  better, to learn people's tolerance for rules and structures.
  CIA/OMS, he said, sees hope for fruitful research there.

- [_____] said SECOM should revisit the SCI anomaly which allows, somethimes, for an individual to be security approved by one agency after already having been disapproved by another. He said that approvals/disapprovals should be centrally reported, and rapidly so.   STAT

- [_____] stated that current requirements for clearing people at the Collateral SECRET level are a joke. Mr. Anderson said that a National Agency Check and an interview <u>by an Agent</u> should be established as a bare minimum requirement. Some discussion ensued concerning the additional cost of such a personal interview, but it was agreed that the cost would be well worth it if it could prevent one case such as the recent Harper case in DoD.   STAT

- [_____] stated that SECOM needs to provide the Intelligence Community with more in the way of services of common concern. He cited Security Awareness as a good example. He noted that CIA is currently working on a defector presentation which could well be of general interest. [_____] indicated that sessions with the Chairmaen of individual SECOM Sub-committees should be scheduled to discuss areas in which closer coordination on matters of common concern might take place.   STAT   STAT

- [_____] that both the Bross Commission and the recent DDI Study Group had recommended a stronger SECOM. Reportedly, they had recommended that this be accomplished by removing SECOM from the Intelligence Community Staff structure and placing it more closely under the wing of the DCI. Mr. Anderson said he would support that approach, and that he would discuss the matter with General Stilwell, DUSD(P.)   STAT

- Returning to the matter of common concerns, [_____] remarked that [_____] CIA/OGC/ALD, was pulling together Congressional testimony on leaks which SECOM might later be able to distribute to the membership.   STAT   STAT

- [_____] suggested that it might be worthwhile for the SECOM principals to meet for a couple of days at a spot such as the Xerox Training Center near Leesburg, Virginia [_____] to review SECOM's goals, principles and standards.   STAT   STAT

- Mr. Anderson said that he, as Working Group Chairman, will propose a review of SECOM goals, principles and standards to the SECOM membership at their 8 February 1984 meeting, and that he will brief Chairman, SECOM to that effect beforehand.

3. The meeting ended at 1140 hours with no date established for the next meeting.

    * See additional note on back of page.

    STAT

- [   ] also informally inquired of Mr. Anderson   STAT
  what Mr. Anderson thought General Stilwell's thoughts
  might be concerning the continued existence of the IG/CM.
  Mr. Anderson said he had not discussed the matter with
  General Stilwell. He did comment, however, that the IG/CM
  was taking up a good bit of General Stilwell's time, and
  that he personally thought the group might reasonably be
  expected to be disbanded after it has completed its review
  of the issues which brought it into existence.